arXiv:1411.5570v1 [math.RT] 20 Nov 2014

IRREDUCIBLE DUAL OF P-ADIC $U(5)$

CLAUDIA SCHOEMANN

Abstract. We study the parabolically induced complex representations of the unitary group in 5 variables, $U(5)$, defined over a p-adic field.

Let F be a p-adic field. Let $E : F$ be a field extension of degree two. Let $\mathrm{Gal}(E : F) = \{\mathrm{id}, \sigma\}$. We write $\sigma(x) = \overline{x}\ \forall x \in E$. Let $E^* := E \setminus \{0\}$ and let $E^1 := \{x \in E \mid x\overline{x} = 1\}$.

$U(5)$ has three proper standard Levi subgroups, the minimal Levi subgroup $M_0 \cong E^* \times E^* \times E^1$ and the two maximal Levi subgroups $M_1 \cong \mathrm{GL}(2, E) \times E^1$ and $M_2 \cong E^* \times U(3)$.

We consider representations induced from M_0 and from non-cuspidal, not fully-induced representations of M_1 and M_2. We determine the points and lines of reducibility and the irreducible subquotients of these representations.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Definitions 4
3. The representations of $U(3)$ 6
3.1. The irreducible representations of $U(3)$ 6
3.2. The irreducible unitary representations of $U(3)$ 8
4. The irreducible representations of $U(5)$ 10
4.1. Levi decomposition for $U(5)$ 10
4.2. Representations with cuspidal support in M_0, fully-induced 11
4.3. Representations induced from M_1 and M_2, with cuspidal support in M_0 15
5. 'Special' Reducibility points of representations of $U(5)$ with cuspidal support in M_0 22
5.1. $|\,|\,1 \times 1 \rtimes \lambda'$ 23
5.2. $|\,|^2\,1 \times |\,|\,1 \rtimes \lambda'$ 23
5.3. $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 24
5.4. $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 25
5.5. $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 26
5.6. $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 27
5.7. $|\,|\,1 \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 28
5.8. $|\,|\,1 \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 29
5.9. $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 30
5.10. $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ 31
References 34

Date: October 2014.

1. Introduction

We study the parabolically induced complex representations of the unitary group in 5 variables - $U(5)$ - defined over a non-archimedean local field of characteristic 0. This is $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, where p is a prime number. We speak of a 'p-adic field'.

Let F be a p-adic field. Let $E : F$ be a field extension of degree two. Let $\mathrm{Gal}(E : F) = \{\mathrm{id}, \sigma\}$ be the Galois group. We write $\sigma(x) = \overline{x}\ \forall x \in E$. Let $E^* := E \setminus \{0\}$ and let $E^1 := \{x \in E \mid x\overline{x} = 1\}$. Let $|\ |_E$ denote the p-adic norm on E.

$U(5)$ has three proper parabolic subgroups. Let P_0 denote the minimal standard parabolic subgroup and P_1 and P_2 the two maximal standard parabolic subgroups. One has the Levi decomposition $P_i = M_i N_i,\ i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, where M_i denote the standard Levi subgroups and N_i are the corresponding unipotent subgroups of $U(5)$.

$M_0 \cong E^* \times E^* \times E^1$ is the minimal Levi subgroup, $M_1 \cong \mathrm{GL}(2, E) \times E^1$ and $M_2 \cong E^* \times U(3)$ are the two maximal Levi subgroups.

We consider representations of the Levi subgroups, extend them trivially to the unipotent subgroups to obtain representations of the parabolic groups. We now perform normalised parabolic induction to obtain representations of $U(5)$.

We consider representations of M_0, further we consider non-cuspidal, not fully-induced representations of M_1 and M_2. For M_1 this means that the representation of the $\mathrm{GL}(2, E)-$ part is a proper subquotient of a representation induced from $E^* \times E^*$ to $\mathrm{GL}(2, E)$. For M_2 this means that the representation of the $U(3)-$ part of M_2 is a proper subquotient of a representation induced from $E^* \times E^1$ to $U(3)$.

As an example for M_1, take $|\det|_p^\alpha\ \chi(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R},\ \chi$ is a unitary character of E^*, $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ is the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, E)$ and λ' is a character of E^1. As an example for M_2, take $|\ |^\alpha\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R},\ \chi$ is a unitary character of E^*, λ' is a character of E^1 and $\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is the Steinberg representation of $U(3)$. Note that λ' is unitary.

We determine the points and lines of reducibility of the representations of $U(5)$, and we determine the irreducible subquotients.

The irreducible complex representations of $U(3)$ over a p-adic field obtained as subquotients of parabolically induced representations have been classified by Charles David Keys in [Key84], the irreducible complex representations of $U(4)$ over a p-adic field obtained as subquotients of parabolically induced representations have been classified by Kazuko Konno in [Kon01].

We start with some basic definitions. In section 3 we give the classification of the irreducible non-cuspidal representations of $U(3)$, as has been done by Charles David Keys [Key84]. We reassemble the results for the irreducible unitary representations.

In section 4 we determine when the induced representations to $U(5)$ are irreducible. It is done for representations induced from M_0 and for non-cuspidal, not fully-induced representations of the two maximal Levi subgroups M_1 and M_2.

Representations of M_0 are of the form $|\ |_p^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \otimes |\ |_p^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \otimes \lambda'$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R},\ \chi_1, \chi_2$ are unitary characters of E^* and λ' is a unitary character of E^1. Reducibility of the induced representation $|\ |_p^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times |\ |_p^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ depends on α_1, α_2 and on the two unitary characters χ_1 and χ_2.

Let $N_{E/F}(E)$ denote the norm map of E with respect to the field extension $E : F$, i.e. $N_{E/F}(x) = x\overline{x}\ \forall x \in E$.

In Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and 4.5 we show that for $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ $\mid |_p^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times \mid |_p^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible if and only if at least one of the following cases holds:

(1) $\mid \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \mid = 1$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$,
(2) $\mid \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \mid = 1$ and $\chi_1(x) = \chi_2^{-1}(\overline{x})\ \forall x \in E^*$,
(3) $\exists i \in \{1, 2\}$ s.t. $\alpha_i = 1$ and $\chi_i = 1$,
(4) $\exists i \in \{1, 2\}$ s.t. $\alpha_i = 1/2$ and $\chi_i \mid F^* \neq 1$, but $\chi_i \mid N_{E/F}(E^*) = 1$,
(5) $\exists i \in \{1, 2\}$ s.t. $\alpha_i = 0$ and $\chi_i \neq 1$, but $\chi_i \mid F^* = 1$.

Let χ be a unitary character of E^*. The condition that $\chi(x) = \chi^{-1}(\overline{x})\ \forall x \in E^*$ is equivalent to the condition that $\chi \mid N_{E/F}(E^*) = 1$ and to the fact that χ is a character of a type as in 3. 4. or 5. of the list above.

In 4.3 we consider representations induced from irreducible non-cuspidal representations of M_1 and M_2 that are not fully-induced.

We consider $\mid \det \mid_p^{\alpha} \chi\, \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\mid \det \mid_p^{\alpha} \chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, χ is a unitary character of E^*, $\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ is the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, E)$, λ' is a unitary character of E^1 and 1_{GL_2} is the trivial representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, E)$.

In Theorem 4.7 and Proposition 4.8 we show that for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $\mid |_p^{\alpha}\ \chi\, \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\mid |_p^{\alpha}\ \chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are irreducible unless one of the following cases holds:

(1) $\alpha = 1/2$ or $\alpha = 3/2$ and $\chi = 1$,
(2) $\alpha = 0$, $\alpha = 1/2$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $\chi \mid F^* \neq 1$, but $\chi \mid N_{E/F}(E^*) = 1$,
(3) $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \neq 1$ but $\chi \mid F^* = 1$.

We consider $\mid |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \tau$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$, χ is a unitary character of E^* and τ is an irreducible non-cuspidal unitary representation of $U(3)$ that is not fully-induced. We consider all irreducible proper subquotients τ of representations induced to $U(3)$ from its unique proper Levi-subgroup $M \cong E^* \times E^1$, as classified by Charles David Keys in [Key84].

In Theorems 4.9, 4.11, 4.13 and in Propositions 4.10, 4.12 and Remark 4.14 we show that these representations are irreducible unless one has a certain combination of $\alpha \in \{0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2\}$ and $\chi = 1$, or $\chi \neq 1$ but $\chi \mid \mathrm{F}^* = 1$, or $\chi \mid F^* \neq 1$ but $\chi \mid N_{E/F}(E^*) = 1$.

In the case of reduciblility the irreducible subquotients are determined in the course of section 4. In several cases the irreducible suquotients are determined in section 5.

In section 5 we treat several 'special' reducibility points of representations induced from the minimal parabolic subgroup $P_0 \cong M_0 N_0$, where $M_0 \cong E^* \times E^* \times E^1$. In some cases the induced representation $\mid |_p^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times \mid |_p^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ has more than two irreducible subquotients, more precisely it has four irreducible subquotients.

If this is the case, then $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \{0, 1/2, 1, 3/2, 2\}$ and $\chi_i(x) = \chi_i^{-1}(\overline{x})$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\forall x \in E^*$. I.e. $\chi_i = 1$, or $\chi_i \neq 1$ but $\chi_i \mid F^* = 1$, or $\chi_i \mid F^* \neq 1$ but $\chi_i \mid N_{E/F}(E^*) = 1$, for $i = 1, 2$.

We determine the irreducible subquotients in terms of Langlands-quotients, and we determine whether these Langlands-quotients are unitary.

2. Definitions

Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group, defined over a non-archimedean local field of characteristic 0. Let V be a vector space, defined over the complex numbers. Let π be a representation of G on V. We denote it by (π, V) and sometimes by π or V.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the set of open compact subgroups of G .

Definition 2.1 A representation (π, V) of G is said to be **smooth** if every $v \in V$ is fixed by a neighbourhood of the unity in G . This is equivalent to saying that $\exists K \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\pi(k)v = v \; \forall k \in K$.

Definition 2.2 A representation (π, V) of G is said to be **admissible** if it is smooth and for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ the space V^K of fixed vectors under K is finite dimensional.

From now on let (π, V) be an admissible representation of G .

Definition 2.3 Let (π, V) be a smooth representation of G . Let $V^* = \mathrm{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})$ be the space of linear forms on V. One defines a representation (π^*, V^*) of G : if $v^* \in V^*$ and $g \in G$ then $\pi^*(g)(v^*)$ is defined by $v \mapsto v^*(\pi(g^{-1})(v))$. Let $\widetilde{V}$ be the subspace of smooth vectors in V^*, i.e. the subset $\widetilde{V} \subset V^*$ of elements $\widetilde{v}$ such that the stabiliser of $\widetilde{v}$ is an open subgroup of G . One shows that $\widetilde{V}$ is invariant under G for the action of π^*. So π^* induces a representation $\widetilde{\pi}$ on $\widetilde{V}$; $(\widetilde{\pi}, \widetilde{V})$ is called the **dual representation** of (π, V).

Definition 2.4 A representation π is called **hermitian** if $\pi \cong \overline{\widetilde{\pi}}$.

Definition 2.5 A representation (π, V) of a group G is called a **unitary representation** if and only if on the vector space V there exists a positive definite hermitean form $\langle \, , \, \rangle : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$ that is invariant under the action of G :

$$\langle \pi(g)v, \pi(g)w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle \; \forall g \in \mathrm{G}, \forall v, w \in V.$$

Definition 2.6 A **matrix coefficient** $f_{v,\widetilde{v}}$ of a representation (π, V) is a (locally constant) function: $f_{v,\widetilde{v}} : \mathrm{G} \to \mathbb{C} : g \mapsto \widetilde{v}(\pi(g)(v))$, where $v \in V$ and $\widetilde{v} \in \widetilde{V}$.

Definition 2.7 An irreducible representation π of G is called **cuspidal** if π has a non-zero matrix coefficient $f : \mathrm{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ that is compactly supported modulo the center of G.

Definition 2.8 An irreducible representation π of G is called **square-integrable** if π is unitary and if π has a non-zero matrix coefficient $f : \mathrm{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ that is square-integrable modulo the center Z of G : $f \in L^2(\mathrm{G}/Z)$. It follows that all matrix coefficients of π are square-integrable.

Definition 2.9 An irreducible representation π of a group G is **tempered** if it is unitary and if π has a non-zero matrix coefficient $f : \mathrm{G} \to \mathbb{C}$ that verifies $f \in L^{2+\epsilon}(\mathrm{G}/Z) \; \forall \epsilon > 0$.

Remark 2.10 Square-integrable representations are tempered.

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic 0. i.e. $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, where p is a prime number.

Let $E : F$ be a field extension of degree two, hence a Galois extension. Let $\mathrm{Gal}(E : F) = \{\mathrm{id}, \sigma\}$. The action of the non-trivial element σ of the Galois group is called the conjugation of elements in E corresponding to the extension $E : F$. We write $\sigma(x) = \overline{x}\ \forall\ x \in E$ and extend $^-$ to matrices with entries in E.

Let $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}(n, E)$ be a hermitian matrix, i.e. $\overline{\Phi}^t = \Phi$, let U_Φ be the unitary group definded by Φ :

$$U_\Phi = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(n, E) : g\Phi\overline{g}^t = \Phi\}.$$

Let $\Phi_n = (\Phi_{ij})$, where $\Phi_{ij} = (-1)^{i-1}\delta_{i,n+1-j}$ and δ_{ab} is the Cronecker delta.

Let $\zeta \in E^*$ be an element of trace 0, i.e. $tr(\zeta) = \zeta + \overline{\zeta} = 0$.

If n is odd, then $\Phi_n = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & \iddots & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & -1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}$ is hermitian. If n is even, $\zeta\Phi_n = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & \zeta \\ & & & \iddots & \\ & & -\zeta & & \\ & \zeta & & & \\ -\zeta & & & & \end{pmatrix}$ is hermitian.

Denote by $U(n)$ the **unitary group** corresponding to Φ_n if n is odd or to $\zeta\Phi_n$ if n is even, respectively. It is quasi split.

Let n be an even positive integer. We will call Levi subgroup of $U(n)$ a subgroup of block diagonal matrices

$$L := \{\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & & & & & & & & 0 \\ & A_2 & & & & & & & | \\ & & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & & A_k & & & & & \\ & & & & B & & & & \\ & & & & & {}^t\overline{A_k}^{-1} & & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & & \\ | & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & & & & & & & & {}^t\overline{A_1}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ A_i \in \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(E)\ \text{ for }\ 1 \le i \le k \text{ and } B \in U(m)\},$$

where $m, n_1, \ldots, n_k$ are strictly positive integers such that $m + 2\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i = n$. (If $k = 0$, then there are no n_i and $L = U(n)$.)

It is canonically isomorphic to the product $\mathrm{GL}(n_1, E) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(n_k, E) \times U(m)$. We chose the corresponding parabolic subgroup P such that it contains L and the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $U(n)$. We call a parabolic subgroup P that contains the subgroup of upper triangular matrices standard. Let N be the unipotent subgroup with identity matrices for the block diagonal matrices of L, arbitray entries in E above and $0's$ below. Then one has the Levi decomposition $P = LN$.

Let $\pi_i,\ i = 1, \ldots k$, be smooth admissible representations of $\mathrm{GL}(n_i, E)$ and σ a smooth admissible representation of $U(m)$. Let $\pi_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \pi_k \otimes \sigma$ denote the representation of $L = \mathrm{GL}(n_1, E) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(n_k, E) \times U(m)$ and denote by $\pi := \mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(n)}(\pi_1 \otimes \ldots \pi_k \otimes \sigma) = \pi_1 \times \ldots \pi_k \rtimes \sigma$ the normalized parabolically induced representation, where P is the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup containing L.

Definition 2.11 Let π be an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n,E)$. Then there exist irreducible cuspidal representations $\rho_1,\rho_2,\ldots,\rho_k$ of general linear groups that are, up to isomorphism, uniquely defined by π, such that π is isomorphic to a subquotient of $\rho_1\times\ldots\times\rho_k$. The multiset of equivalence classess $(\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_k)$ is called the **cuspidal support** of π. It is denoted by $\mathrm{supp}(\pi)$.

Definition 2.12 Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and let τ be an irreducible representation of $U(n)$. Then there exist irreducible cuspidal representations $\rho_1,\ldots,\rho_k$ of general linear groups and an irreducible cuspidal representation σ of some $U(m)$ that are, up to isomorphism and replacement of ρ_i by $\rho_i^{-1}(-)$ for some $i\in\{1,\ldots,k\}$, uniquely defined by τ, s. t. τ is isomorphic to a subquotient of $\rho_1\times\ldots\times\rho_k\rtimes\sigma$. The representation σ is called the **partial cuspidal support** of τ and is denoted by τ_{cusp}.

Definition 2.13 Let π be a smooth representation of finite length of G. Then $\hat{\pi}$ denotes the **Aubert dual** of π, as defined in [Aub95].

3. The representations of $U(3)$

3.1. **The irreducible representations of $U(3)$.** $U(3)$ has a unique standard proper parabolic subgroup, denoted by P. Let M be the standard Levi subgroup and N the unipotent radical corresponding to P.

Then $M=\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}x&0&0\\0&k&0\\0&0&\overline{x}^{-1}\end{smallmatrix}\right),x\in E^*,k\in E^1\}$,
where E^* is the group of invertible elements of E and $E^1:=\{x\in E: x\overline{x}=1\}$.

$N=\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&\alpha&\beta\\0&1&\overline{\alpha}\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\alpha,\beta\in E,\alpha\overline{\alpha}=\beta+\overline{\beta}\}$. Set

$$P=MN=\{\begin{pmatrix}x&0&0\\0&k&0\\0&0&\overline{x}^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&\alpha&\beta\\0&1&\overline{\alpha}\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}x&x\alpha&x\beta\\0&k&k\overline{\alpha}\\0&0&\overline{x}^{-1}\end{pmatrix},x\in E^*,k\in E^1,\alpha,\beta\in E,\alpha\overline{\alpha}=\beta+\overline{\beta}\}.$$

For a smooth character $\lambda\in\mathrm{Hom}(M,\mathbb{C}^*)$ there exist unique smooth characters $\lambda_1\in\mathrm{Hom}(E^*,\mathbb{C}^*)$ and $\lambda'\in\mathrm{Hom}(E^1,\mathbb{C}^*)$ such that

$$\lambda(\begin{pmatrix}x&0&0\\0&k&0\\0&0&\overline{x}^{-1}\end{pmatrix})=\lambda_1(x)\lambda'(x\overline{x}^{-1}k),\ \forall x\in E^*,\forall k\in E^1.$$

Every smooth character of E^* can be written in the form
$\lambda_1(x)=\mid x\mid^{\alpha_1}\chi_1(x)$, with $\alpha_1\in\mathbb{R}$ and χ_1 a unitary character. $\lambda':E^1\to\mathbb{C}^*$ is smooth and E^1 is a compact group, hence λ' is unitary.

These are all characters of M. We extend λ from M to P, by taking $\lambda\mid N=1$.

We induce parabolically from P to $U(3)$ and obtain

$$\pi:=\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)=\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda_1\otimes\lambda')=:\lambda_1\rtimes\lambda'.$$

The complex vector space V of the representation π is defined as follows:

$$V:=\{f:U(3)\to\mathbb{C}:f\text{ smooth and}f(mng)=\delta_P^{1/2}(m)\lambda(m)f(g)\ \forall m\in M,\forall n\in N,\forall g\in U(3)\}.$$

Here $\delta_P^{1/2}$ is the modulus character. π acts on V by right translations.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and χ be a unitary character of E^*. Let λ' be a character of E^1. Let $\lambda = |\ |^\alpha \chi \otimes \lambda'$ be a character of the Levi subgroup M and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ the parabolically induced representation to $U(3)$. Then $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ has a unique irreducible quotient denoted by $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda')$, the Langlands quotient.

Let $N_{E/F}(\ )$ denote the norm on E corresponding to the field extension E/F of degree 2: $N_{E/F}(x) = x\overline{x}\ \forall x \in E$. $N_{E/F}(E^*) \subset F^*$ and $|\ F^*/N_{E/F}(E^*)\ | = 2$.

Let $\omega_{E/F} : F^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be the unique non-trivial smooth character with $\omega_{E/F} \mid N_{E/F}(E^*) = 1$. $\omega_{E/F}$ is determined by local class field theory.

Let $X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ be the set of characters χ of E^* such that $\chi \mid F^* = \omega_{E/F}$. Characters in $X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ are unitary.

Let $X_{1_{F^*}}$ be the set of characters χ of E^* that are non-trivial and whose restriction to F^* is trivial: $\chi \neq 1,\ \chi \mid F^* = 1$.

Let $X_{N_{E/F}(E^*)} = \{1\} \cup X_{\omega_{E/F}} \cup X_{1_{F^*}}$. $X_{N_{E/F}(E^*)}$ exhausts all characters χ of E^* that are trivial on $N_{E/F}(E^*)$, i.e. that verify $\chi(x) = \chi^{-1}(\overline{x})\ \forall x \in E^*$.

Let $T := \{\begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \overline{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, x \in F^*\}$ be the maximal split torus over F.

Let $\mathrm{Norm}_{U(3)}(T)$ be the normaliser of T in $U(3)$, let $\mathrm{Cent}_{U(3)}(T)$ be the centraliser of T in $U(3)$. The Weyl group is $W := \mathrm{Norm}_{U(3)}(T)/\ \mathrm{Cent}_{U(3)}(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \{\mathrm{id}, \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}\}$.

By David Keys [Key84] the induced representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible except in the following cases:

1. $\lambda_1 = |\ |_E^{\pm 1}$
2. $\lambda_1 = |\ |_E^{\pm 1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$, where $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.
3. $\lambda_1 = \chi_{1_{F^*}}$, where $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$.

Note that in 1. and 2. changing the sign of the exponent is equivalent to replacing λ by $w\lambda$, where $w \in W$ is the non-trivial element of the Weyl group. Thus, the sign of the exponent does not affect the set of irreducible constituents. We give the classification for positive exponent, for negative exponent the irreducible constituents exchange roles.

The classification does not depend on λ'.

In the first case $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ has exactly two constituents, the character $\psi := \lambda' \circ \det = \mathrm{Lg}(\lambda_1; \lambda')$ and the square-integrable subrepresentation $\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} \cdot \psi$.
ψ and $\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} \cdot \psi$ are unitary.

In the second case $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ has exactly two constituents, a square-integrable (and hence unitary) representation $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and a non-tempered unitary representation $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(\lambda_1; \lambda')$.

In the third case $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ decomposes into the direct sum $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} \oplus \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. The two constituents $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are tempered, hence unitary.

Remark 3.1 $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is unitary:

Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible and unitary, $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible and unitary for $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, by Theorem 3.5, (1.3). By [Mil73] the irreducible subquotients $\pi_{\chi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}}$ and $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ are unitary. See Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.2 $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are tempered:

In the third case $\lambda_1 =: \chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. $\chi_{1_{F^*}}$ is square-integrable. Hence $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ is tempered and so are its constituents.

We obtain the following

Corollary 3.3. *If $\lambda_1 \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible there are always two distinct irreducible subquotients. They are unitary.*

3.2. The irreducible unitary representations of $U(3)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\alpha > 0$, and let χ be a smooth unitary character of E^*. The following list exhausts all irreducible hermitian representations of $U(3)$ supported in its parabolic subgroup P.*

0. $\chi \rtimes \lambda',\ \chi \notin X_{1_{F^*}},\ \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}, \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ as introduced above, tempered,
1. $\lambda'(\det) = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1; \lambda'), \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi; \lambda'),\ \chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ non-tempered, unitary,
2. $\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}, \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ square-integrable,
3. $|\ |^{\alpha}\ 1 \rtimes \lambda', \alpha \neq 1;\ |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda',\ \alpha \neq 1/2,\ \chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda',\ \chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$.

Proof. Representations of 0., 1. and 2. are unitary, hence hermitian.

If for $\alpha > 0$ $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible, all subquotients are hermitian and part of the list.

3. Let $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda',\ \alpha > 0$, be irreducible. By [Cas95], 3.1.2, $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda' \cong \overset{\sim}{\overline{|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'}}$ iff $w(|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \otimes \lambda') \cong \overset{\sim}{\overline{|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \otimes \lambda'}}$ for the non-trivial element w of W.

We have $\overset{\sim}{\overline{|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \otimes \lambda'}} = |\ |^{-\alpha}\ \chi \otimes \lambda' = w(|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \otimes \lambda') = |\ |^{-\alpha}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes \lambda' \Leftrightarrow \chi \cong \chi^{-1}(-)$, i.e. $\chi \in X_{N_{E/F}}$. □

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and χ be a smooth unitary character of E^*. Like before we set $\lambda = \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda'$, where $\lambda_1 = |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi$.

If $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ reduces we have seen that all subquotients are unitary.

Theorem 3.5. *1.* $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ *is irreducible and unitary if and only if*

1.1 $\chi \notin X_{1_{F^*}}$ *and* $\alpha = 0$,
1.2 $\chi = 1$ *and* $\alpha \in]-1, 0[\cup]0, 1[$,
1.3 $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ *and* $\alpha \in]-1/2, 0[\cup]0, 1/2[$.

2. $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ *is irreducible and non-unitary if and only if*

2.1 $\chi_1 \neq 1$, $\chi_1 \notin X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$.
2.2 $\chi_1 = 1$ *and* $\alpha \in]-\infty, -1[\cup]1, \infty[$,
2.3 $\chi_1 \in X_{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ *and* $\alpha \in]-\infty, -1/2[\cup]1/2, \infty[$.

Proof. We use the fact that every character of E^* can be written as $\lambda_1 =| \, |^\alpha \chi$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and χ is a unitary character.

1.1. $\Leftarrow$ If $\alpha = 0$, then $\lambda = \chi \otimes \lambda'$ is unitary, hence $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is unitary. The representation $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible unless $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ [Key84].

For the rest of the proof we need some preparatory theory.

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$, let $\pi_\alpha =| \, |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ be a representation of $U(3)$ and V be the corresponding vector space. We give, on the same vector space V, a family of $U(3)-$ invariant hermitian forms, parametrised by $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$:

$\langle \ , \ \rangle_\alpha : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}, (f, h) \mapsto \int\limits_{U(3,\mathcal{O})} A(w, \lambda) f(k) \overline{h(k)} dk.$

w is the non-trivial and the longest element of W, and $A(w, \lambda) : | \, |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda' \to | \, |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'$ is the intertwining operator for $| \, |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ corresponding to w. $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of integers of E. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_-^*$, one can equivalently define such an intertwining operator.

Let G be a connected reductive group defined over a p-adic field. Let (π, V) be a representation of G, for a finite dimensional vector space V. One has the following construction:

Lemma 3.6. *Assume one has, on the same vector space V, a continuous family of induced irreducible representations $(\pi_\alpha, V), \alpha \in X$, where X is a connected set, that posses non-trivial hermitian forms (invariant under* G*). Suppose that some π_α is unitary. If a family of non-degenerate hermitian forms on a finite dimensional space, parametrised by X, is positive definite at one point of X, it is positive definite everywhere. Hence π_α is unitary $\forall \alpha \in X$.*

Remark 3.7 One may reduce the argument to finite dimensional spaces by considering spaces $\oplus V(\delta)$, where δ runs over fixed finite subsets of the irreducible unitary representations of the maximal compact subgroup $U(3, \mathcal{O})$.

We continue the proof of 3.5.

1.2 and 1.3 $\Leftarrow$ For $\alpha = 0$ and $\chi = 1$ or $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible and unitary, hence $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is unitary for $\chi = 1$ and $\alpha \in]-1, 1[$ and for $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ and $\alpha \in]-1/2, 1/2[$. The hermitian forms are given above.

If $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\chi \notin X_{N_{E/F}(E^*)}$, $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible and not hermitian and hence not unitarisable.

It remains to show that $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is non-unitary if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$ and $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ (if $\alpha = 0$ and $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ then $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is reducible). Further it remains to show that $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is non-unitary if $\chi = 1$ and $\alpha \in]-\infty, -1[\cup]1, \infty[$ and if $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ and $\alpha \in]-\infty, -1/2[\cup]1/2, \infty[$.

This will show 1. $\Rightarrow$ and 2. $\Leftarrow$; 2. $\Rightarrow$ is shown by 1. $\Leftarrow$.

Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic 0 and let G be an arbitrary reductive group defined over F. Let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}^*)^{n.r.}$, where $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}^*)^{n.r.}$ is the group of non-ramified

characters of M. It is canonically isomorphic (as a topological group) to a direct product of a finite number of copies of $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Let π be an irreducible representation of M.

We will use Lemma 5.1 (i) of [Mui97] that is a special case of Theorem 4.5 in [Tad88]:

Lemma 3.8. *The set of all* $\sigma \in \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}^*)^{n.r.}$ *such that* $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes \pi)$ *has an irreducible unitary subquotient is compact.*

Here $|\ |^\alpha \otimes 1 \ \in \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C}^*)^{n.r.}$ and $\chi \otimes \lambda'$ is an irreducible representation of M.

Proof of 1. $\Rightarrow$ and 2. $\Leftarrow$: $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(3)}(\lambda)$ is irreducible for $\chi = 1$ and $\alpha \in]1, \infty[$ (or $\alpha \in]-\infty, -1[$, or for $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ and $\alpha \in]-\infty, -1/2[\cup]1/2, \infty[$, or for $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^*$, respectively). If there existed $\alpha \in]1, \infty[$ (or in one of the other intervalls or in $\mathbb{R}^*$, respectively) s.t. $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ is unitary, with χ chosen appropriately, then by Lemma 3.6 all representations $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ with $\alpha \in]1, \infty[$ (or in one of the other intervalls or in $\mathbb{R}^*$) would be unitary, in contradiction to Lemma 3.8. □

The induced representations of $U(4)$ over a p-adic field have been classified by Kazuko Konno [Kon01].

4. The irreducible representations of $U(5)$

4.1. **Levi decomposition for $U(5)$.** Recall the Levi decompositon $P = MN$, where P is a standard parabolic subgroup, M is the standard Levi-subgroup corresponding to P and N is the unipotent subgroup corresponding to P and to M.

The standard Levi subgroups of $U(5)$ are the following three:

$M_0 := E^* \times E^* \times E^1$ (the Levi-group corresponding to the minimal parabolic subgroup),

$M_1 := \mathrm{GL}(2, E) \times E^1$ and

$M_2 := E^* \times U(3)$ (the two Levi-groups corresponding to the maximal parabolic subgroups).

In matrix form we can write:

$M_0 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x & & & & 0 \\ & y & & & \\ & & k & & \\ & & & \overline{y}^{-1} & \\ 0 & & & & \overline{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, x, y \in E^*, k \in E^1 \},$

$M_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a & & 0 \\ & k & \\ 0 & & \overline{a}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ a \in \mathrm{GL}(2, E), k \in E^1 \cong U(1) \}$ and

$M_2 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} x & & 0 \\ & u & \\ 0 & & \overline{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, x \in E^* \cong \mathrm{GL}(1, E), u \in U(3) \}.$

The unipotent subgroups are

$N_0 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & - & * \\ & 1 & & & \vert \\ & & 1 & & \\ \vert & & & 1 & \\ 0 & - & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \cap U(5),\ N_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & & - & * \\ 0 & 1 & & & \vert \\ & & 1 & & \\ \vert & & & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & - & & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \cap U(5),$ and $N_2 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & - & * \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & \vert \\ & 0 & 1 & 0 & \\ \vert & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ 0 & - & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \} \cap U(5),$

where $*$ are entries in E.

We obtain the parabolic subgroups

$$P_0 = M_0N_0 = \{\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & * \\ & y & & & \\ & & k & & \\ & & & \overline{y}^{-1} & \\ 0 & & & & \overline{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ x,y,\in E^*, k\in E^1, *\in E\}\cap U(5),$$

$$P_1 = M_1N_1 = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & & & * \\ & k & & \\ & & \overline{a}^{-1} & \\ 0 & & & \end{pmatrix},\ a\in \mathrm{GL}(2,E), k\in E^1, *\in E\}\cap U(5), \text{ and}$$

$$P_2 = M_2N_2 = \{\begin{pmatrix} x & & * \\ & u & \\ 0 & & \overline{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, x\in E^*, u\in U(3), *\in E\}\cap U(5).$$

We consider representations of the Levi-subgroups. One extends them to representations of P, by extending them trivially to the unipotent subgroup N. Then one performs normalized parabolic induction to the whole group $U(5)$.

4.2. **Representations with cuspidal support in M_0, fully-induced.** The irreducible representations of M_0 are characters. Let $\lambda_1,\lambda_2\in \mathrm{Hom}(E^*,\mathbb{C}^*)$ and $\lambda'\in \mathrm{Hom}(E^1,\mathbb{C}^*)$ be smooth characters. One may write $\lambda_i =\mid\mid_E^{\alpha_i}\chi_i,\ i=1,2$, where $\alpha_i\in\mathbb{R}$ and χ_i is a unitary character of E^*. λ' is unitary.

Then each character λ of M_0 can be written as

$$\lambda(m) =\mid x\mid_E^{\alpha_1}\chi_1(x)\mid y\mid_E^{\alpha_2}\chi_2(y)\lambda'(x\overline{x}^{-1}y\overline{y}^{-1}k),\ m=\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & 0 \\ & y & & & \\ & & k & & \\ & & & \overline{y}^{-1} & \\ 0 & & & & \overline{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},\ x,y\in E^*,\ k\in E^1.$$

By $\lambda:=\lambda_1\otimes\lambda_2\otimes\lambda'$ we denote the characters of M_0 and by $\lambda_1\times\lambda_2\rtimes\lambda':=\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(5)}(\lambda_1\otimes\lambda_2\otimes\lambda')$ the induced representations to $U(5)$.

We start with the case where $\lambda_1=\chi_1$ and $\lambda_2=\chi_2$ are unitary characters, i.e. $\alpha_1=\alpha_2=0$.

4.2.1 Irreducible subquotients of $\chi_1\times\chi_2\rtimes\lambda'$

Let P_0 be the minimal parabolic subgroup of $U(5)$ (the upper triangular matrices in $U(5)$) with Levi subgroup M_0 and unipotent subgroup N_0, such that $P_0=M_0N_0$).

Theorem 4.1. *Let χ_1,χ_2 be unitary characters of E^* and let λ' be a (unitary) character of E^1. The induced representation $\chi_1\times\chi_2\rtimes\lambda'$ is reducible if and only if*

$\exists\, i\in\{1,2\}$ *s.t.* $\chi_i\in X_{1_{F^*}}$.

Proof. For the proof we use the theory of $R-$ groups, these are subgroups of the Weyl group W of $U(5)$.

We have $W\cong S_2\times(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, where $S_2\cong\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is the symmetric group in 2 letters.

Let λ be a character of M_0, and $W_\lambda:=\{w\in W: w\lambda=\lambda\}$.

Let $a(w,\lambda):\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(5)}(\lambda)\to\mathrm{Ind}_P^{U(5)}(w\lambda)$ be the intertwining operator of $\mathrm{Ind}_P^{\mathrm{G}}(\lambda)$ corresponding to w, where $w\lambda(m):=\lambda(w^{-1}mw)$.

Let $W':=\{w\in W_\lambda : a(w,\lambda)\text{ is scalar}\}$.

We have $W_\lambda=R\ltimes W'$. By a result of D. Keys, the number of inequivalent irreducible components of $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_0}^{U(5)}(\lambda)$ equals the number of conjugacy classes in R, see Corollary 1 in [Key82].

In order to make use of the Theorem 3.4 in [Gol95] we introduce some notation:

Let $\mathrm{G} := \begin{bmatrix} U(2n) \\ U(2n+1) \end{bmatrix}$ be the unitary group in $2n$ or $2n+1$ variables, respectively.

For $m \leq n$ let $\mathrm{G}(m) := \begin{bmatrix} U(2m) & \text{if } \mathrm{G}{=}U(2n) \\ U(2m+1) & \text{if } \mathrm{G}{=}U(2n+1) \end{bmatrix}$.

By convention $\mathrm{G}(0) = U(1)$. Let $\epsilon_2(\mathrm{G})$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible square-integrable representations of G. Let $\sigma_i \in \epsilon_2(\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(E)),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $\rho \in \epsilon_2(\mathrm{G}(m))$.

Theorem 4.2 ([Gol95])**.** *Let* $\mathrm{G} = U(2n)$ *or* $U(2n+1)$*. Let* $P = MN$ *be a parabolic subgroup of* G*. Suppose that* $M \cong \mathrm{GL}(n_1, E) \times \ldots \times \mathrm{GL}(n_r, E) \times \mathrm{G}(m)$*. Let* $\sigma \in \epsilon_2(M)$*, with* $\sigma \cong \sigma_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \sigma_r \otimes \rho$*. Let* d *be the number of inequivalent* σ_i*, such that* $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}_{n_i} \times \mathrm{G}(m)}^{\mathrm{G}(m+n_i)}(\sigma_i \otimes \rho)$ *reduces. Then* $R \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^d$*.*

In the present case this translates to:

$\mathrm{G} = U(5)$, $P = P_0$ is the minimal parabolic subgroup, $M = M_0 \cong \mathrm{GL}_1(E) \times \mathrm{GL}_1(E) \times G(0) \cong E^* \times E^* \times E^1$.

$\sigma_1 = \chi_1,\ \sigma_2 = \chi_2$, and $\rho = \lambda'$.

Recall that for a unitary character χ of E^*, $\chi \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible if and only if $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. Then $\chi \rtimes \lambda' = \sigma_{1,\chi} \oplus \sigma_{2,\chi}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi}$ are tempered.

We apply the theorem: for $\lambda = \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \lambda'$ and $W \cong S_2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ the integer d may equal $0, 1$ or 2.

0. Let $d = 0$. $\chi_i \rtimes \lambda',\ i \in \{1, 2\}$ is irreducible for $i \in \{1, 2\}$, and $R \cong \{1\}$. $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible and unitary.

1. Let $d = 1$. Then $\exists\ i, j \in \{1, 2\}, i \neq j$, s.t. $\chi_i \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ and $\chi_j \notin X_{1_{F^*}}$ or $\chi_i \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ and $\chi_j \ncong \chi_i$. Hence $R \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ has two irreducible inequivalent constituents: $\chi_j \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_i}$ and $\chi_j \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_i}$. They are tempered and hence unitary.

2. Let $d = 2$. χ_1 and χ_2 are two inequivalent characters and $\chi_i \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ for $i = 1, 2$.
$R \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$, and $\chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \chi'$ has four irreducible inequivalent unitary constituents. By [Gol95, Theorem 4.3] they are tempered and elliptic. □

4.2.2 Irreducible subquotients of $|\ |^{\alpha_1} \chi_1 \times |\ |^{\alpha_2} \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda',\ \alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ and of $|\ |^{\alpha} \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda',\ \alpha > 0$

Let $M_0 \cong E^* \times E^* \times E^1$ be the minimal Levi subgroup, let $P_0 = M_0 N_0$ be the corresponding parabolic subgroup, i. e. the minimal parabolic subgroup. N_0 is the unipotent radical of P_0.

Let $\lambda := \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2 \otimes \lambda' = |\ |^{\alpha_1} \chi_1 \otimes |\ |^{\alpha_2} \chi_2 \otimes \lambda'$ be a character of M_0, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and χ_1, χ_2 are unitary characters of E^*.

W. l . o. g. let $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 > 0$. If $\alpha_2 > \alpha_1$ we change parameters. The case $\alpha_2 = 0$ is treated seperately.

Recall that $X_{\omega_{E/F}}$ is the set of characters of E^* whose restriction to F^* is the character $\omega_{E/F}$, i.e. whose restriction to F^* is non-trivial on F^* but trivial on $N_{E/F}(E^*)$. $X_{1_{F^*}}$ is the set of non - trivial characters of E^* whose restriction to F^* is trivial. $X_{N_{E/F}(E^*)} = 1 \cup X_{\omega_{E/F}} \cup X_{1_{F^*}}$. $X_{N_{E/F}(E^*)}$ contains all characters χ of E^* satisfying $\chi(x) = \chi^{-1}(\overline{x})$. They are unitary.

From now on, the lack of an entry at position ij in a matrix means that the entry equals 0.

Theorem 4.3. *Let χ_1, χ_2 be unitary characters of E^* and let λ' be a character of E^1. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ s.t. $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$, then*

$$| \, |^{\alpha_1} \chi_1 \times | \, |^{\alpha_2} \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$$

is reducible if and only if at least one of the following conditions holds:

1. $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = 1$ *and* $\chi_1 = \chi_2$,
2. $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ *and* $\chi_1(x) = \chi_2^{-1}(\overline{x})$,
3. $\alpha_1 = 1$ *and* $\chi_1 = 1$ *or* $\alpha_1 = 1/2$ *and* $\chi_1 \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$,
4. $\alpha_2 = 1$ *and* $\chi_2 = 1$ *or* $\alpha_2 = 1/2$ *and* $\chi_2 \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda := | \, |^{\alpha_1} \chi_1 \otimes | \, |^{\alpha_2} \chi_2 \otimes \lambda'$ be a character of M_0.

Let $A(w, \lambda) : \ \mathrm{Ind}_{P_0}^{U(5)}(\lambda) = | \, |^{\alpha_1} \chi_1 \times | \, |^{\alpha_2} \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda' \to \mathrm{Ind}_{P_0}^{U(5)}(w\lambda) = | \, |^{-\alpha_1} \chi_1^{-1}(-) \times | \, |^{-\alpha_2} \chi_2^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'$ be a standard long intertwining operator for the representation $| \, |^{\alpha_1} \chi_1 \times | \, |^{\alpha_2} \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$.

Remark 4.4 $w = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}$ is the longest element of the Weyl group, and for $m \in M_0$ it is

$$w\lambda(m) := \lambda\left(\begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & & & & \\ & y & & & \\ & & k & & \\ & & & \overline{y}^{-1} & \\ & & & & \overline{x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}\right) = \lambda\left(\begin{pmatrix} \overline{x}^{-1} & & & & \\ & \overline{y}^{-1} & & & \\ & & k & & \\ & & & y & \\ & & & & x \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Hence $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_0}^{U(5)}(w\lambda)$ equals $| \, |^{-\alpha_1} \chi_1^{-1}(-) \times | \, |^{-\alpha_2} \chi_2^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'$.

If $A(w, \lambda)$ is either not injective or not surjective it follows that $\mathrm{Ind}_{P_0}^{U(5)}(\lambda)$ is reducible. The decomposition of the long intertwining operator into short operators shows for which α_1, α_2 and unitary characters χ_1 and χ_2 the long intertwining operator is not an isomorphism.

Let $w_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $w_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$.

We have $w = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix} = w_1 w_2 w_1 w_2.$

The following diagram gives the decomposition of $A(w, \lambda)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} | \, |^{\alpha_1}\chi_1 \times | \, |^{\alpha_2}\chi_2 \rtimes \lambda' & \overset{\cong}{\to} & | \, |^{\alpha_1}\chi_1 \times | \, |^{\alpha_2}\chi_2 \rtimes \lambda' \\ & & A(w_2, \lambda)\downarrow w_2 \\ & & | \, |^{\alpha_1}\chi_1 \times | \, |^{-\alpha_2}\chi_2^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' \\ A(w, \lambda)\downarrow w & & A(w_1, w_2\lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\ & & | \, |^{-\alpha_2}\chi_2^{-1}(-) \times | \, |^{\alpha_1}\chi_1 \rtimes \lambda' \\ & & A(w_2, w_1 w_2 \lambda)\downarrow w_2 \\ & & | \, |^{-\alpha_2}\chi_2^{-1}(-) \times | \, |^{-\alpha_1}\chi_1^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' \\ & & A(w_1, w_2 w_1 w_2 \lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\ | \, |^{-\alpha_1}\chi_1^{-1}(-) \times | \, |^{-\alpha_2}\chi_2^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' & \overset{\cong}{\to} & | \, |^{-\alpha_1}\chi_1^{-1}(-) \times | \, |^{-\alpha_2}\chi_2^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' \end{array}$$

If $A(w,\lambda)$ is not an isomorphism, then at least one of the operators $A(w_2\lambda), A(w_1,w_2\lambda), A(w_2,w_1w_2\lambda)$ or $A(w_1,w_2w_1w_2\lambda)$ is not an isomorphism.

$A(w_1,\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if the induced representation $|\ |^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible. This is the case if and only if $\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\chi_2 = 1$ or $\alpha_2 = 1/2$ and $\chi_2 \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.

$A(w_1,w_2\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if the corresponding representation $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1\times |\ |^{-\alpha_2}\ \chi_2^{-1}(-)$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha_1+\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\chi_1(x) = \chi_2^{-1}(\overline{x})\ \forall x \in E^*$.

$A(w_2,w_1w_2\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\chi_1 = 1$ or $\alpha_1 = 1/2$ and $\chi_1 \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$, and

$A(w_1,w_2w_1w_2\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\ |^{-\alpha_2}\ \chi_2^{-1}(-)\times |\ |^{-\alpha_1}\ \chi_1^{-1}(-)$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha_1-\alpha_2 = 1$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$.

In all other cases the short intertwining operators are holomorphic and isomorphisms, hence $A(w,\lambda)$ is an isomorphism and the representation $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1\times |\ |^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible.

On the other hand, if at least one of the short intertwining operators is no isomorphism, $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1\times |\ |^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible by indcution in stages; in these cases we determine the irreducible constituents in Theorems 4.7, 4.9, 4.11, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.6. □

Let $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 = 0$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let χ_1,χ_2 be unitary characters of E^*, let λ' be a (unitary) character of E^1. Let $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. The induced representation*

$$|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$$

is reducible if and only if

1. *$\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\chi_1 = \chi_2$,*
2. *$\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\chi_1(x) = \chi_2^{-1}(\overline{x}\,)$,*
3. *$\alpha_1 = 1$ and $\chi_1 = 1$ or $\alpha_1 = 1/2$ and $\chi_1 \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$,*
4. *$\chi_2 \in X_{1_{F^*}}$.*

Proof. 1. ⇒ We use Lemma 2.1 of [Tad09].

Lemma 4.6 ([Tad09])**.** *Let π be an irreducible representation of $U(m)$ and let ρ be an irreducible cuspidal representation of a general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(p,F)$. Suppose*

(1) $\rho \neq \widetilde{\rho}(-)$.

(2) $\rho \rtimes \pi_{\mathrm{cusp}}$ is irreducible.

(3) $\rho\times\rho'$ and $\widetilde{\rho}(-)\times\rho'$ are irreducible for any factor ρ' of π.

(4) Neither ρ nor $\widetilde{\rho}(-)$ is a factor of π.

Then

$$\rho \rtimes \pi$$

is irreducible.

Here $\pi \cong \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\rho \cong |\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1$.

If none of the four cases in Theorem 4.5 holds we are in the position to apply Lemma 4.6, hence $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible.

⇐ If at least one of the four cases holds, clearly $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible. □

In those cases where $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda',\ \alpha > 0$, is reducible, the irreducible constituents will be investigated 4.7, 4.10, 4.12 and in 4.13.

4.3. **Representations induced from M_1 and M_2, with cuspidal support in M_0.** We consider representations induced from the maximal parabolic subgroups with Levi-groups M_1 and M_2, whose cuspidal support is in M_0 and that are not fully induced. We begin with $M_1 = \mathrm{GL}(2,E) \times E^1$.

4.3.1 **Representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda',\ \alpha > 0$**

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and χ be a unitary character of E^*. We study $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ that is a subrepresentation of the induced representation $|\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{\alpha-1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'$, and its Aubert dual $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and χ be a unitary character of E^*. The representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi(\det) 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are irreducible, unless one of the following cases holds:*

1. *$\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{N_{E/F}(E^*)}$,*
2. *$\alpha = 3/2$ and $\chi = 1$,*
3. *$\alpha = 1$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.*

Proof. Let $R(U(n))$ be the Grothendieck group of the category of admissible representations of finite length of $U(n)$ and let $R(U) := \underset{n\geq 0}{\oplus} R(U(n))$.

In $R(U)$ we have $|\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{\alpha-1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda' = |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' + |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$.

$|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are dual in the sense of Aubert and have the same number of irreducible constituents. We give the proof for $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ as subrepresentation of $|\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{\alpha-1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'$.

Let $\lambda := |\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\ \chi \otimes |\ |^{\alpha-1/2}\ \chi \otimes \lambda'$. Let $A(w',\lambda) : |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' \to |\ |^{-\alpha}\ \chi^{-1}(\overline{\det})\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ be the long intertwining operator for the representation $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, where w' is the longest element of W respecting $M_1 \cong \mathrm{GL}(2,E) \times E^1$.

We have $w' := \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ 0 & 1 & & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = w_2 w_1 w_2$.

The decomposition of $A(w',\lambda)$ into short intertwining operators gives information for which $\alpha > 0$ and unitary characters χ of E^* this operator is an isomorphism. The following diagram shows the decomposition of $A(w',\lambda)$. i_1 and i_2 are inclusions that depend holomorphically on α.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
|\ |^{\alpha}\chi(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' & \overset{i_1}{\hookrightarrow} & |\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\chi \times |\ |^{\alpha-1/2}\chi \rtimes \lambda' \\
 & & A(w_2,\lambda)\downarrow w_2 \\
 & & |\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\chi \times |\ |^{-\alpha+1/2}\chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' \\
A(w',\lambda)\downarrow w' & & A(w_1,w_2\lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\
 & & |\ |^{-\alpha+1/2}\chi^{-1}(-) \times |\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\chi \rtimes \lambda' \\
 & & A(w_2,w_1w_2\lambda)\downarrow w_2 \\
|\ |^{-\alpha}\chi^{-1}(\overline{\det})\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' & \overset{i_2}{\hookrightarrow} & |\ |^{-\alpha+1/2}\chi^{-1}(-) \times |\ |^{-\alpha-1/2}\chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'
\end{array}
$$

If $\alpha \neq 1/2$ $A(w_2,\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\ |^{\alpha-1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ reduces, if and only if $\alpha = 3/2$ and $\chi = 1$ or $\alpha = 1$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.

If $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$, then $\chi \rtimes \lambda'$ reduces.

$A(w_1, w_2\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{-\alpha+1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-)$ reduces, if and only if $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{N_{E/F}(E^*)}$.

$A(w_2, w_1w_2\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\ |^{\alpha+1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ reduces if and only if $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi = 1$.

In all other cases $A(w_2, \lambda), A(w_1, w_2\lambda)$ and $A(w_2, w_1w_2\lambda)$ are holomorphic and isomorphisms and $A(w', \lambda)$ is also an isomorphism. Hence the representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi(\det)1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are irreducible.

If one of the three cases in Theorem 1.5 holds, reducibility of $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi(\det)1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ has to be investigated. This is done in 4.10, 5.2, 5.4, 5.5 and in 5.6. □

4.3.2 Representations $\chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$

Let $0 < \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1,\ \alpha > 0$. Let χ_1, χ_2, χ and χ' be unitary characters of E^*. Let λ' be a unitary character of E^1. Let $\chi \notin X_{1_{F^*}}$ (hence $\chi \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible by [Key84]). Let τ_1 be a tempered representation of $\mathrm{GL}(2, E)$, let τ_2 be a tempered representation of $U(3)$ and let τ be a tempered representation of $U(5)$.

The representations $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1 \times |\ |^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2 \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi_1 \times \chi \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \tau_1 \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi' \rtimes \tau_2$ and τ have a unique irreducible quotient, the Langlands quotient, denoted by $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_1; |\ |^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_2\ \lambda')$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi_1; \chi \rtimes \lambda')$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{\alpha}\ \tau_1; \lambda')$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi'; \tau_2)$ and τ, respectively.

Proposition 4.8. *Let χ be a unitary character of E^*, let λ' be a (unitary) character of E^1. The representations $\chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are reducible if and only if $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.*

Let $\chi =: \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$, Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible square-integrable subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ [Key84]. Then

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$,

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = \tau_1 + \tau_2$,

where τ_1 and τ_2 are tempered such that $\tau_1 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\tau_2 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$. All subquotients are unitary.

Proof. We consider Jaquet-restriction of $\chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(\chi(\det)1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda') = |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi \otimes |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi \otimes \lambda'$.

Hence all subquotients of $\chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are non-tempered.

$\chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are subquotients of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'$.

For $w = \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$ we have $w(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \otimes |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi \otimes \lambda') = |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes \lambda'$, and $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ and $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'$ have the same irreducible constituents. Therefore we consider the reducibility of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'$.

If $\chi \notin X_{\omega_{E/F}}$, then $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-); \lambda')$ is the only non-tempered Langlands quotient supported in $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes \lambda'$. Hence $\chi 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi^{-1}(-); \lambda')$ is irreducible. $\chi\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible by Aubert duality, it is tempered.

Let $\chi =: \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ are the only non-tempered Langlands quotients supported in $|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' . \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is tempered and so are its subquotients. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ are the subquotients of $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$. By Aubert duality $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ has the two irreducible subquotients $\tau_1 := \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\tau_2 := \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$.

We consider restriction to the parabolic subgroup P_1 :

$s_{P_1}(\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda') = \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \otimes \lambda' + \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$.

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is unitary, hence $\tau_1 \hookrightarrow \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\tau_2 \hookrightarrow \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$. By Frobenius reciprocity $s_{P_1}(\tau_1) \twoheadrightarrow \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \otimes \lambda'$ and $s_{P_1}(\tau_2) \twoheadrightarrow \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \otimes \lambda'$. $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \otimes \lambda'$ is irreducible and has multiplicity 2 in $s_{P_1}(\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda')$. Hence τ_1 and τ_2 have multiplicities 1 and $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is a representation of length 2. By Aubert duality $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ have multiplicities 1 and $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is of length 2.

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are unitary, hence all subquotients are unitary. □

4.3.3 Representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \tau$ and $\chi \rtimes \tau$, $\alpha > 0$, τ irreducible non-cuspidal of $U(3)$, not fully-induced

We now look at representations induced from the maximal parabolic subgroup P_2, whose cuspidal support is in M_0 and that are not fully induced.

Recall that $P_2 = M_2 N_2$, where $M_2 \cong E^* \times U(3)$ is a maximal standard Levi subgroup and N_2 the unipotent subgroup corresponding to P_2 and M_2.

Let χ be a unitary character of E^*.

Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Recall from 3.1 the irreducible subquotients of the induced representations to $U(3)$ in the cases that $|\ |^{\beta}\chi \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible: $\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}, \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}, \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}, \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. All irreducible subquotients are unitary.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. We study the representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. Further we study representations $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$, $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$, $\chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$, $\chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. $\chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

4.3.3.1 Representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$, $\alpha > 0$

Theorem 4.9. *Let $\alpha \in R_+^*$ and χ be a unitary character of E^*. The representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ are irreducible unless one of the following conditions holds:*

1. *$\alpha = 2$ and $\chi = 1$,*
2. *$\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = 1$,*
3. *$\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.*

Proof. In $R(U)$ we have $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \times |\ |^{1} 1 \rtimes \lambda' = |\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda' \det \mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + |\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda' \det 1_{U(3)}$. We give the proof for $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$, its Aubert dual $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ has the same points of reducibility.

Let $\lambda := |\ |^{\alpha}\chi \otimes |\ | 1 \otimes \lambda'$. Let $A(w'', \lambda) :|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} \to |\ |^{-\alpha}\chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ be the long intertwining operator for the representation $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ corresponding to

w'', the longest element of W respecting the Levi subgroup $M_2 \cong E^* \times U(3)$. We analyse the decomposition of $A(w'', \lambda)$ into short intertwining operators. As in the previous case this will show when $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda' \det \mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det) 1_{U(3)}$ are irreducible.

Let $w'' := \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ 1 & 0 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ 1 & 0 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} = w_1 w_2 w_1$

The following diagram gives the decomposition of $A(w'', \lambda)$ into short intertwining operators. i_1 and i_2 are inclusions and depend holomorphically on α.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} |\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} & \overset{i_1}{\hookrightarrow} & |\ |^\alpha \chi \times |\ | 1 \rtimes \lambda' \\ & & A(w_1, \lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\ & & |\ | 1 \times |\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda' \\ A(w'', \lambda)\downarrow w'' & & A(w_2, w_1\lambda)\downarrow w_2 \\ & & |\ | 1 \times |\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' \\ & & A(w_1, w_2 w_1 \lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\ |\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} & \overset{i_2}{\hookrightarrow} & |\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \times |\ | 1 \rtimes \lambda' \end{array}$$

$A(w_1, \lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\ |^\alpha \chi \times |\ | 1$ reduces if and only if $\alpha = 2$ and $\chi = 1$.

$A(w_2, w_1\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'$ reduces if and only of $\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = 1$ or $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.

$A(w_1, w_2 w_1 \lambda)$ is an isomorphism as $|\ | 1 \times |\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-)$ is irreducible for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$.

In those cases where $A(w_1, \lambda)$, $A(w_2, w_1\lambda)$ and $A(w_2, w_1 w_2 \lambda)$ are isomorphisms, $A(w'', \lambda)$ is an isomorphism, and the representations $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det) 1_{U(3)}$ are irreducible.

On the other hand, if $A(w_1, \lambda)$, $A(w_2, w_1\lambda)$ or $A(w_2, w_1 w_2 \lambda)$ is no isomorphism, then reducibility of $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det) 1_{U(3)}$ is left to be investigated. It is done in 5.2 and in 5.6. □

4.3.3.2 Representations $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det) 1_{U(3)}$

Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. Recall that $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} \oplus \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are tempered [Key84].

Proposition 4.10. *Let χ be a unitary character of E^*, let λ' be a (unitary) character of E^1. The representations $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det) 1_{U(3)}$ are reducible if and only if $\chi = 1$ or $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$.*

Let $\chi = 1$.

$1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det) 1_{U(3)} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ | 1; 1 \rtimes \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$ *and*

$1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} = \tau_3 + \tau_4$,

where τ_3 and τ_4 are tempered such that $\tau_3 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ \widehat{|\ 1; 1} \rtimes \lambda')$ and $\tau_4 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\,\widehat{\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}}; \lambda')$.

Let $\chi =: \chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$.

$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det) 1_{U(3)} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ | 1; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ | 1; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ *and*

$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} = \tau_5 + \tau_6$.

τ_5 and τ_6 are tempered, such that $\tau_5 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ \widehat{|\ 1; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$, $\tau_6 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ \widehat{|\ 1; \sigma_{2;\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$.

Proof. We consider the Jaquet restriction of $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}) = \chi\otimes \mid\mid^{-1} 1\otimes\lambda' + \mid\mid^{-1} 1\otimes\chi\otimes\lambda' + \chi^{-1}(-)\otimes \mid\mid^{-1} 1\otimes\lambda' + \mid\mid^{-1} 1\otimes\chi^{-1}(-)\otimes\lambda'$.

Hence all subquotionts of $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ are non-tempered.

$\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is tempered, hence all its subquotients are tempered.

If $\chi \neq 1$ and $\chi \notin X_{1_{F^*}}$, then

$\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;\chi\rtimes\lambda')$ is the only non-tempered Langlands quotient supported in $\mid\mid 1\otimes\chi\otimes\lambda'$, and $\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)} = \mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;\chi\rtimes\lambda')$ is irreducible.

$\chi \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is irreducible by Aubert duality.

Let $\chi = 1$. $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;1\rtimes\lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid^{1/2}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ are the only non-tempered Langlands-quotients supported in $\mid\mid 1\otimes 1\otimes\lambda'$. $1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is tempered and so are its subquotients. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;1\rtimes\lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid^{1/2}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ are the irreducible subquotients of $1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$. By Aubert duality $1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ has two irreducible subquotients, the tempered representations $\tau_3 := \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{\mid\mid 1;1}\rtimes\lambda')$ and $\tau_4 := \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{\mid\mid^{1/2}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}};\lambda')$.

We consider restriction to the parabolic subgroup P_2.

$s_{P_2}(1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}) = 1\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + 1\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + \mid\mid 1\otimes 1\rtimes\lambda'$.

$1\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is irreducible and appears with multiplicity 2 in $s_{P_2}(1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$.

$1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is unitary, hence $\tau_3 \hookrightarrow 1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $\tau_4 \hookrightarrow 1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$. By Frobenius reciprocity $s_{P_2}(\tau_3) \twoheadrightarrow 1\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$, and $s_{P_2}(\tau_4) \twoheadrightarrow 1\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$.

Hence multiplicities of τ_3 and τ_4 equal 1 and $1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is a representation of length 2. By Aubert duality multiplicities of the irreducible subquotients of $1\rtimes\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ equal 1 and it is a representation of length 2.

If $\chi =: \chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$, then $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are the only non-tempered Langlands-quotients supported in $\mid\mid 1\otimes\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'$. $\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is tempered and so are its subquotients. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(\mid\mid 1;\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are the irreducible subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$. By Aubert duality $\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ has two irreducible subquotients, the tempered representations $\tau_5 := \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{\mid\mid 1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$ and $\tau_6 := \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{\mid\mid 1;\sigma_{2;\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$.

We consider the restriction to the parabolic subgroup P_2 :

$s_{P_2}(\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}) = \chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + \chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + \mid\mid 1\otimes\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'$.

$\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is irreducible and appears with multiplicity 2 in $s_{P_2}(\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$.

$\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is unitary, hence $\tau_5 \hookrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $\tau_6 \hookrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$. By Frobenius reciprocity $s_{P_2}(\tau_5) \twoheadrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$, and $s_{P_2}(\tau_6) \twoheadrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$.

Hence multiplicities of τ_5 and τ_6 equal 1 and $\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is a representation of length 2. By Aubert duality multiplicities of the irreducible subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ equal 1 and it is a representation of length 2. □

4.3.3.3 Representations $\mid\mid^{\alpha}\chi\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $\mid\mid^{\alpha}\chi\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$, $\alpha > 0$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and let χ be a unitary character of E^*. Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$, i.e. $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$ is a (unitary) character of E^* whose restriction to F^* equals the character $\omega_{E/F}$.

Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique square-integrable subquotient and let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible non-tempered subquotient of $\mid\mid^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$ [Key84].

Theorem 4.11. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and χ be a unitary character of E^*. The representations $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are irreducible unless*

1. *$\alpha = 1/2$ or $\alpha = 3/2$ and $\chi = \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$,*
2. *$\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = 1$,*
3. *$\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Let $\lambda := |\ |^\alpha \chi \otimes |\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$.

Let $A(w'', \lambda) : |\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} \to |\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the long intertwining operator for the representation $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ corresponding to w'', the longest element of W respecting $M_2 \cong E^* \times U(3)$. We analyse the decomposition of $A(w'', \lambda)$ into short intertwining operators. As in the previous case this shows when $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are irreducible.

The following diagram gives the decomposition of $A(w'', \lambda)$ into short intertwining operators. w_1 and w_2 are like before:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} & \overset{i_1}{\hookrightarrow} & |\ |^\alpha \chi \times |\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda' \\
 & & \downarrow A(w_1,\lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\
 & & |\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \lambda' \\
\downarrow A(w'',\lambda)\downarrow w'' & & \downarrow A(w_2,w_1\lambda)\downarrow w_2 \\
 & & |\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' \\
 & & \downarrow A(w_1,w_2w_1\lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\
|\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} & \overset{i_2}{\hookrightarrow} & |\ |^{-\alpha} \chi^{-1}(-) \times |\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'
\end{array}
$$

$A(w_1, \lambda)$ is not an isomorphism if and only if $\alpha = 3/2$ and $\chi = \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$.
$A(w_2, w_1\lambda)$ is not an isomorphism if and only if $\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = 1$ or $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.
$A(w_1, w_2w_1\lambda)$ is not an isomorphism if and only if $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi = \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$.

In those cases where $A(w_1, \lambda), A(w_2, w_1\lambda), A(w_1, w_2w_1\lambda)$ and hence $A(w'', \lambda)$ are isomorphisms, $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are irreducible.

On the other hand, if $A(w_1, \lambda), A(w_2, w_1\lambda)$ or $A(w_1, w_2w_1\lambda)$ is no isomorphism, reducibility of $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $|\ |^\alpha \chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ needs to be investigated. This is done in 4.8 and 5.3 (for $|\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $|\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$), in 5.4, 5.6 and in 5.9. □

4.3.3.4 Representations $\chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $\chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$

Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique square-integrable subquotient and $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ the unique non-tempered irreducible subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$. Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. Recall that $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} \oplus \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are tempered [Key84].

Proposition 4.12. *Let χ be a unitary character of E^* and let λ' be a (unitary) character of E^1. The representations $\chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $\chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are reducible if and only if $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$.*

Let $\chi =: \chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. Then
$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2} \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$,
$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \tau_7 + \tau_8$,

where τ_7 and τ_8 are tempered representations such that $\tau_7 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\tau_8 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$.

Proof. We consider Jaquet restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(\chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) = \chi \otimes |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi \otimes \lambda' + \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi^{-1}(-) \otimes \lambda'$.

Hence all irreducible subquotients of $\chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are non-tempered.

If $\chi \notin X_{1_{F^*}}$, then $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \chi \rtimes \lambda')$ is the only non-tempered Langlands quotient supported in $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi \otimes \lambda'$, and $\chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \chi \rtimes \lambda')$ is irreducible. By Aubert duality $\chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is irreducible, it is tempered.

Let $\chi =: \chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are the only non-tempered Langlands quotients supported in $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda'$. $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is tempered, hence its subquotients are tempered. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are the subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. By Aubert duality $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ has two subquotients, $\tau_7 := \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\tau_8 := \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$. They are tempered.

We consider restriction to the parabolic subgroup P_2 :

$s_{P_2}(\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) = \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} + \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = 2\ \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is unitary, hence $\tau_7 \hookrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $\tau_8 \hookrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. By Frobenius reciprocity $s_{P_2}(\tau_7) \twoheadrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $s_{P_2}(\tau_8) \twoheadrightarrow \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is irreducible and of multiplicity 2 in $s_{P_2}(\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$, hence τ_7 and τ_8 are of multiplicity 1 and $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \times \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a representation of length 2. By Aubert duality $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are of multiplicity 1, and $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a representation of length 2. □

4.3.3.5 Representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, $\alpha > 0$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ and let χ be a unitary character of E^*. Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$, i.e. $\chi_{1_{F^*}}$ is a non-trivial unitary character of E^* whose restriction to F^* is trivial. Recall that $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} \oplus \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are tempered [Key84].

Theorem 4.13. *Let χ be a unitary character of E^*. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. The representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible unless one of the following cases holds:*

1. *$\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = \chi_{1_{F^*}}$,*
2. *$\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = 1$,*
3. *$\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. In $R(U)$ we have $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} + |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. We give the proof for $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, the proof for $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ is analogous. Let $\lambda := |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda'$. Let $A(w'', \lambda) : |\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' \to |\ |^{-\alpha}\ \chi^{-1}(-) \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ be the intertwining operator corresponding to the longest element $w'' \in W$ respecting $M_2 \cong \mathrm{E}^* \times U(3)$. The decomposition into short intertwining operators shows when $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ is irreducible.

Let $w'' = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}$ as before.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
|\,|^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} & \overset{i_1}{\hookrightarrow} & |\,|^{\alpha}\chi \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' \\
 & & A(w_1,\lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\
 & & \chi_{1_{|F^*}} \times |\,|^{\alpha}\chi \rtimes \lambda' \\
A(w'',\lambda)\downarrow w'' & & A(w_2,w_1\lambda)\downarrow w_2 \\
 & & \chi_{1_{F^*}} \times |\,|^{-\alpha}\chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \lambda' \\
 & & A_3(w_1,w_2w_1\lambda)\downarrow w_1 \\
|\,|^{-\alpha}\chi^{-1}(-) \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} & \overset{i_2}{\hookrightarrow} & |\,|^{-\alpha}\chi^{-1}(-) \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'
\end{array}
$$

$A(w_1,\lambda)$ is not an isomorphism if and only if $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi \times \chi_{1_{F^*}}$ is reducible if and only if $\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = \chi_{1_{F^*}}$.

$A(w_2, w_1\lambda)$ is not an isomorphism if and only if $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi \rtimes \lambda'$ reduces if and only if $\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = 1$ or $\alpha = 1/2$ and $\chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$.

$A(w_1, w_2w_1\lambda)$ is no isomorphism if and only if $|\,|^{-\alpha}\,\chi^{-1}(-) \times \chi_{1_{F^*}}$ reduces if and only if $\alpha = 1$ and $\chi = \chi_{1_{F^*}}$.

In all other cases $A(w_1,\lambda), A(w_2,w_1\lambda), A(w_1,w_2w_1\lambda)$ and hence $A(w'',\lambda)$ are isomorphisms, and $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1^*_F}}$ are irreducible.

If $A(w_1,\lambda), A(w_2,w_1\lambda)$ or $A(w_1,w_2w_1\lambda)$ is no isomorphism, then reducibility of $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ is left to be investigated. It is done in 5.6, 5.7 and in 5.8. □

4.3.3.6 Representations $\chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, $\alpha > 0$

Let χ be a unitary character of E^*. Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. Recall that $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} \oplus \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are tempered [Key84].

Remark 4.14 By Theorem 4.1 the representations $\chi \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\chi \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are reducible if and only if $\chi \in X_{1_{F^*}}$ such that $\chi \ncong \chi_{1_{F^*}}$.

5. 'Special' Reducibility points of representations of $U(5)$ with cuspidal support in M_0

We determine the irreducible subquotients of the representations whose reducibility has not been examined in Chapter 2.

Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible square-integrable subquotient and let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible non-tempered subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$. Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. Recall that $\chi_{1_{F^*}} = \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} + \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are tempered [Key84].

In Theorem 4.7 the irreducible subquotients of the following representations are left to be examined:

$|\,|^{1/2}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|^{1/2}\,1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|^{3/2}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|^{3/2}\,1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$.

In Theorem 4.9 the irreducible subquotients of the following representations are left to be examined:

$|\ |^2\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}, |\ |^2\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)},\ |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}, |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}, |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}, |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$.

Theorem 4.11 leaves the following representations to be examined:

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, |\ |^{3/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, |\ |^{3/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}, |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}},\ \chi \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}, \chi \ncong \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$.

Theorem 4.13 leaves the following representations to be examined:

$|\ |\ \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}, |\ |\ \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}, |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}, |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}, |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\ |\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

All representations are treated in this chapter. We determine whether the irreducible subquotients are unitary.

5.1. $|\ |\ 1 \times 1 \rtimes \lambda'$. In the Grothendieck group of the category of admissible representations of finite length one has $|\ |\ 1 \times 1 \rtimes \lambda' = |\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' + |\ |^{1/2}\ 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$.

Theorem 5.1. $|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda') + \tau_3$,
$|\ |^{1/2}\ 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; 1 \rtimes \lambda') + \tau_4$,
$1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} = \tau_3 + \tau_4$,
$1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; 1 \rtimes \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$, *where*

$\tau_3 = \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{|\ |\ 1; 1} \rtimes \lambda')$, $\tau_4 = \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}}; \lambda')\hat{}$. *$\tau_3$ and τ_4 are tempered. All irreducible subquotients are unitary.*

Proof. We have seen in Proposition 4.10 that
$1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} = \tau_3 + \tau_4$,
$1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; 1 \rtimes \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$, where

$\tau_3 = \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{|\ |\ 1; 1} \rtimes \lambda')$, $\tau_4 = \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}}; \lambda')$, and τ_3 and τ_4 are tempered.

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is a subrepresentation of $|\ |\ 1 \times 1 \rtimes \lambda'$, $|\ |^{1/2}\ 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is a quotient. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; 1 \rtimes \lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$. $|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ is the Aubert dual of $|\ |^{1/2}\ 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, hence $\tau_3 = \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{|\ |\ 1; 1} \rtimes \lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, hence $\tau_4 = \mathrm{Lg}(\widehat{|\ |^{1/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}}; \lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$.

$1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ and $1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ are unitary, hence all irreducible subquotients are unitary. □

5.2. $|\ |^2\ 1 \times |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'$. In the Grothendieck group of admissible representations of finite length one has

$|\ |^2\ 1 \times |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda' = |\ |^{3/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' + |\ |^{3/2}\ 1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = |\ |^2\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + |\ |^2\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$.

Theorem 5.2. *The representation $|\ |^2\ 1 \times |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible and we have*
$|\ |^2\ 1 \times |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda' = \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(5)} + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{3/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda') + \lambda'(\det)1_{U(5)} + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^2\ 1; \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$.

$\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(5)}$ and $\lambda'(\det)1_{U(5)}$ are unitary, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{3/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$ *and* $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^2\ 1; \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$ *are non-unitary.*

Proof. By [Cas95] $|\ |^2\ 1\times |\ |\ 1\rtimes\lambda'$ is a representation of length 4.

$\lambda'(\det)1_{U(5)} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^2\ 1; |\ |\ 1;\lambda')$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{3/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^2\ 1;\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$ are all non-tempered Langlands-quotients supported in $|\ |^2\ 1\otimes |\ |\ 1\otimes\lambda'$. The subrepresentation $\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(5)} = \widehat{\lambda'(\det)1_{U(5)}}$ is square-integrable.

By results of Casselmann ([Cas81], page 915) $\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(5)}$ and its Aubert dual $\lambda'(\det)1_{U(5)} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^2\ 1;|\ |\ 1;\lambda')$ are unitary, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{3/2}\ \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^2\ 1;\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$ are not unitary. □

5.3. $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$**.** Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible square-integrable subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$, let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible non-tempered subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$ [Key84].

In the Grothendieck group of admissible representations of finite length one has

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda' = \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' + \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' = |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

Theorem 5.3. *The representation* $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$ *is reducible and we have*

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda' = \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' + \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' = |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

Moreover we have

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' = \tau_1+\tau_2$,

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + \tau_1$

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda') + \tau_2$,

where τ_1 *and* τ_2 *are tempered such that* $\tau_1 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}};\lambda')$ *and* $\tau_2 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}})$. *All irreducible subquotients are unitary.*

Proof. In Proposition 4.8 we have seen that

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' = \tau_1+\tau_2$,

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda' = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$,

where τ_1 and τ_2 are tempered such that $\tau_1 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ and $\tau_2 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{\widehat{1/2}}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_1)$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$ [Ke], hence $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ is the unique irreducible Langlands-quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$, hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ is a quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. Hence $\tau_1 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $\tau_2 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}})$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ and $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ are unitary, hence all irreducible subquotients are unitary. □

5.4. $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible square-integrable subquotient and let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible non-tempered subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$. The representation $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$ is reducible, and we have $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'=|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'+|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}+|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. We have*

$$|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')+\delta,$$
$$|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')+\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}),$$
$$|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_1)+\delta,$$
$$|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')+\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda'),$$

where $\delta=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\,|^{1/2}}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ is square-integrable. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ *and* $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ *are not unitary.*

Proof. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda'),\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ are the only non-tempered irreducible subquotients of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is a subquotient of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

We consider Jaquet-restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda')=|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'$.

$s_{\min}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})=|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'$.

$|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'$ is the only common irreducible subquotient in the restrictions of $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ and of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. Hence these representations have exactly one subquotient in common, denoted by δ. By the Casselmann square-integrability criterion δ is square-integrable.

We have $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'+|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}+|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$, hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$, and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is a subquotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$.

$|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}$ is the Langlands quotient of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$. $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\otimes\lambda'$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'$. Hence $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\times|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$, in particular it is a quotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$. In the same manner $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

So far we have shown:

$$|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')+\delta+A_1$$

$|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + A_2$
$|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + \delta + A_3$
$|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda') + A_4,$

where A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 are sums of tempered representations. We will prove that $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = A_4 = 0$.

A tempered representation is the subquotient of a representation induced from a square-integrable representation. Here, for each proper Levi subgroup M_i, $i = 0, 1, 2$ of $U(5)$, $\mathrm{Ind}_{M_0}^{M_i}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda')$ does not contain any square-integrable subquotient. Hence all tempered subquotients of $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ are square-integrable.

Assume there existed a square-integrable subquotient β of $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$.

We consider Jaquet restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup.

$s_{\min}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda') = |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-3/2} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$,

hence by Casselmann square-integrability criterion $s_{\min}(\beta) = |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$. By [Aub95], Théorème 1.7, $s_{\min}(\hat{\beta}) = |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$.

$\hat{\beta}$ is an irreducible subquotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$. As its restriction is negative and as $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$ is the only non-tempered subquotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$, $\hat{\beta}$ must equal $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda')$.

We have seen that $s_{\min}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda') = |\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-1/2} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$.

So $s_{\min}(\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda'))$ must contain at least the two negative irreducible subquotients $|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$ and $|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$. Hence $\hat{\beta} \neq \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$.

We obtain that $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda') = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$, and $\delta = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$.

$\delta = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ is square-integrable, hence unitary. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ is the dual of a square-integrable representation. It should be unitary, but we have no proof for it. See [Han09], where the proof for the unitarisability of the Aubert dual of a strongly positive square-integrable representation is given for orthogonal and symplectic groups. Applying Theorem 1.1 and Remark 4.7 of [HT10] to the representation $|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ we see that $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{3/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ are non-unitary. □

5.5. $|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$**.** In the Grothendieck group of admissible representations of finite length one has

$|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda' = |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda' + |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$.

We have no proof that $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ and $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2} \rtimes \lambda'$ are irreducible. See [Tad98], Proposition 6.3, where a proof is given for symplectic and special orthogonal groups and when the representation of the GL_{2p-} part, $p \geq 1$, of the inducing representation, is essentially square-integrable.

Remark 5.5 If we assume that $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ and by Aubert duality $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ are irreducible, then $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ and $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda')$, and both subquotients are non-unitary.

Further we are able to prove that $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ and $|\,|^{\alpha}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{\alpha_1}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\,|^{\alpha_2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda')$, are non-unitary for $0<\alpha<1/2,\ 1/2<\alpha_1<1,\ \alpha_2=1-\alpha_1$. See Remarks **??** and **??**.

5.6. $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\times\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'$**.** We can not give a complete decompositon of $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\times\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'$ into irreducible subquotients. We have the following result:

Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}}\in X_{1_{F^*}}$. By [Key84] $\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'=\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}+\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible tempered. The representation $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\times\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'$ is reducible and we have $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\times\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'=|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'+|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}+|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')+\delta+A_1,\\
&|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})+\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})+A_2,\\
&|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})+\delta+A_2,\\
&|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})+\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')+A_1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where δ is square-integrable. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are unitary. A_1 and A_2 are either both empty, or A_1 is equal to δ or δ', where δ' is square-integrable and $\delta\neq\delta'$, and A_2 is either equal to $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ or to $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$.

We prove this assertion: $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda'),\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are the only non-tempered irreducible subquotients of $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\times\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\lambda'$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$. We consider Jaquet restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup.

$s_{\min}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda')=|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'+\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes|\,|^{-1}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}{}_*}\otimes\lambda'+\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'=2\,|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'+\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes|\,|^{-1}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'+\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'$.

By the Casselman square-integrability criterion $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ is the only non-tempered irreducible subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$. A tempered representation is the subquotient of a representation induced from a square-integrable representation. $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\otimes\lambda'$ is not square-integrable, hence any other subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ must be square-integrable. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are subquotients of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$. Let δ be a square-integrable subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$.

$|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ have the same Jaquet-restrictions:

$s_{\min}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})=s_{\min}(|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})=|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'+|\,|^{-1}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'+\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}{}_*}\otimes\lambda'+\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes|\,|^{-1}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\otimes\lambda'$.

We chose $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ such that δ is a subquotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2};\lambda')$ is a subquotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

We have no contradiction that $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\,\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ contains a second irreducible square-integrable subquotient δ' that would be a subquotient of $|\,|\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, and that $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{1_{F^*}}1_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda'$ either

contains $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ with multiplicity 2 or $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{1_{F^*}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda')$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{1_{F^*}}\mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{GL}_2}\rtimes\lambda')$ would then be subquotients of $|\ |\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

Let $0<\alpha<1$. By Theorem 4.13 the representations $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible, they are equal to $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{\alpha}\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and to $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{\alpha}\chi_{1_{F^*}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$, respectively. By Theorem **??** (2) they are unitary. For $\alpha=1$, by [Mil73] the irreducible subquotients of $|\ |\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and of $|\ |\chi_{1_{F^*}}\rtimes\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are unitary.

5.7. $|\ |1\times|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$**.** Recall that $\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is the unique irreducible square-integrable subquotient and that $\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ is the unique irreducible non-tempered subquotient of $|\ |1\rtimes\lambda'$. Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible square-integrable subquotient and let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique irreducible non-tempered subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$ [Key84].

Theorem 5.6. *The representation* $|\ |1\times|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$ *is reducible, and we have* $|\ |1\times|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'=|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}+|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}=|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}+|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. *Moreover we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})+\delta,\\
&|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')+\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}),\\
&|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})+\delta,\\
&|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}=\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')+\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where δ *is square-integrable.* $\delta=\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;\widehat{|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}};\lambda')$, *and* $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})=\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\widehat{1;\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}})$. *The representations* $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ *and* δ *are all unitary.*

Proof. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$, $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda')$ are all the irreducible non-tempered subquotients of $|\ |1\times|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$.

We consider Jaquet-restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\rtimes\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})=|\ |1\otimes|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |1\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |1\otimes\lambda'+|\ |1\otimes|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'$.

By Casselman square-integrability criterion $s_{\min}(\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}))$ must contain the irreducible subquotient $|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |1\otimes\lambda'$.

$s_{\min}(|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})=|\ |1\otimes|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |1\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{-1}1\otimes|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |^{-1}1\otimes\lambda'$, and

$s_{\min}(|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})=|\ |1\otimes|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |1\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{-1}1\otimes|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes\lambda'+|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |^{-1}1\otimes\lambda'$.

The irreducible subquotient $|\ |^{-1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}\otimes|\ |1\otimes\lambda'$ appears in $s_{\min}(|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$, not in $s_{\min}(|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$, hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda'(\det)\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}))$ is a subquotient of $|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |1;\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $|\ |1\rtimes\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

$s_{\min}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}) = |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\ |^{-1}\ 1 \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1}\ 1 \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\ |^{-1}\ 1 \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{-1}\ 1 \otimes |\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$.

Looking at Jaquet modules we see that $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$.

$\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ is a quotient of $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'$ [Key84], hence $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ is a quotient of $|\ |\ 1 \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$. $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ hence $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is also a quotient of $|\ |\ 1 \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $|\ |\ 1 \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$, hence it is a quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ and of $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. It is of multiplicity one.

Each irreducible subquotient in $s_{\min}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)})$ is of multiplicity 1. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is of multiplicity 1. We have seen that $s_{\min}(\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}))$ contains $|\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\ |\ 1 \otimes \lambda'$, with multiplicity 1. $|\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\ |\ 1 \otimes \lambda'$ does not appear in $s_{\min}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}))$, hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$ equally has multiplicity 1.

By Casselmann square-integrability criterion any subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ other than $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)})$ is square-integrable.

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ has the two irreducible subquotients $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$. By Aubert duality $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ has exactly one square-integrable irreducible subquotient, denoted by δ.

Looking at Jaquet modules we see that δ is a subquotient $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

$\delta = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \widehat{|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \lambda')$, and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}) = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ \widehat{1; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}})$.

$1 \times \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible by Theorem 4.1 and unitary. For $0 < \alpha_1 < 1, 0 < \alpha_2 < 1/2$, representations $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ 1 \times |\ |^{\alpha_2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ are irreducible by Theorem 4.3 and unitary by Theorem **??** (1). By [Mi], all irreducible subquotients of $|\ |\ 1 \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ are unitary. □

5.8. $|\ |\ 1 \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$**.** Recall that $\lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is the unique irreducible square-integrable subquotient and that $\lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ is the unique irreducible non-tempered subquotient of $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \lambda'$ ([Key84]). Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. By [Key84] $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} + \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible tempered.

Theorem 5.7. *The representation $|\ |\ 1 \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ is reducible and we have $|\ |\ 1 \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} + \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)} = |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} + |\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. Furthermore*

$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)} = \tau_5 + \tau_6$,
$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$,
$|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \tau_6$,
$|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \tau_5$,

where τ_5 and τ_6 are tempered such that $\tau_5 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ \widehat{1; \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$ and $\tau_6 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ \widehat{1; \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$. All irreducible subquotients are unitary.

Proof. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are the only non-tempered subquotients of $|\ |\ 1 \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ is tempered, hence all irreducible subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$ are temperd. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$.

Let τ_5 and τ_6 be two tempered subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$, such that τ_5 is a subquotient of $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and τ_6 is a subquotient of $|\ |\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

We now show that no other irreducible subquotients of $|\ |\ 1 \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ exist. Assume there exists a tempered subquotient τ_7 of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}$. Consider Jaquet-restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)\,\mathrm{St}_{U(3)}) = \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |\ 1 \otimes \lambda' + |\ |\ 1 \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda' + \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |\ 1 \otimes \lambda' + |\ |\ 1 \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda' = 2\,\chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |\ 1 \otimes \lambda' + 2\,|\ |\ 1 \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda'$.

Hence $\exists\ i \in \{5,6,7\}$ such that $s_{\min}(\tau_i)$ does not contain the irreducible subquotient $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |\ 1 \otimes \lambda'$. The Casselman square-integrability criterion implies that τ_i is square-integrable. This can not be the case. Hence τ_7 does not exist, and τ_5 and τ_6 are of multiplicity 1. By Aubert duality $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'(\det)1_{U(3)}$ does not have any subquotients other than $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ 1;\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$, both of multiplicity 1.

We obtain $\tau_5 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ \widehat{1;\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$, and $\tau_6 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |\ \widehat{1;\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}})$.

$1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible by Theorem 4.1. 1 and by [Key84] $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are unitary, hence $1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are unitary. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, $|\ |^\alpha\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\ |^\alpha\ 1 \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible by Theorem 4.13 and unitary by Theorem **??** (2). By [Mil73], all irreducible subquotients of $|\ |\ 1 \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ are unitary. □

5.9. $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$**.** Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique square-integrable irreducible subquotient and let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique non-tempered irreducible subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ ([Key84]). Let $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \in X_{1_{F^*}}$. By [Key84] $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} + \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$, where $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible tempered.

Theorem 5.8. *The representation* $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ *is reducible and we have* $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda' = \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} + \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. *Furthermore*

$$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \tau_7 + \tau_8,$$
$$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}),$$
$$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \tau_8,$$
$$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}) + \tau_7,$$

where τ_7 *and* τ_8 *are tempered such that* $\tau_7 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ *and* $\tau_8 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$. *All irreducible subquotients are unitary.*

Proof. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are the only non-tempered subquotients of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ is the unique irreducible Langlands-quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ is the unique irreducible Langlands-quotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

$\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is tempered, hence all irreducible subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are temperd. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ are subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

Let τ_7 and τ_8 be two tempered subquotients of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$, such that τ_7 is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and τ_8 is a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$.

We now show that no other irreducible subquotients of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ exist. Assume there exists a tempered subquotient τ_9 of $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. Consider Jaquet-restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) = \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda' + \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda' = 2\ \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + 2\ |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes \lambda'$.

Hence $\exists\ i \in \{7,8,9\}$ such that $s_{\min}(\tau_i)$ does not contain the irreducible subquotient $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$. The Casselman square-integrability criterion implies that τ_i is square-integrable. This can not be the case. Hence τ_9 does not exist, and τ_7 and τ_8 are of multiplicity 1. By Aubert duality $\chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ does not have any subquotients other than $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$, both of multiplicity 1.

We obtain $\tau_7 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}};\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$, and $\tau_8 = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}};\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}})$.

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible by Theorem 4.1. $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}$ and by [Key84] $\sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are unitary, hence $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{2,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are unitary. For $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ and $|\ |^{\alpha}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \sigma_{1,\chi_{1_{F^*}}}$ are irreducible by Theorem 4.13 and unitary by Theorem **??** (3). By [Mil73], all irreducible subquotients of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi_{1_{F^*}} \rtimes \lambda'$ are unitary. □

5.10. $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$**.** Let $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}, \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \in X_{\omega_{E/F}}$, such that $\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \neq \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique square-integrable subquotient and let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ be the unique non-tempered irreducible subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$. Let $\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega'_{E/F}}}$ be the unique square-integrable irreducible subquotient and let $\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega'_{E/F}}}$ be the unique non-tempered irreducible subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ [Key84].

Theorem 5.9. *The representation* $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ *is reducible. We have* $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda' = |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}} = |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} + |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. *Furthermore*

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + \delta$,

$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}};|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}};\lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}};\pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$,

$|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + \delta,$
$|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi'_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}),$

where $\delta = \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ *is square-integrable.* $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda'), \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ *and* $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ *are unitary.*

Proof. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda'), \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi'_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ are all the non-tempered irreducible subquotients of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is a subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

Consider Jaquet-restriction to the minimal parabolic subgroup:

$s_{\min}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}) = |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda',$

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is non-tempered, hence $s_{\min}(\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}))$ must contain the irreducible subquotient $|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$.

$s_{\min}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) = |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda',$

$s_{\min}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) = |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda' + |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'.$

The irreducible suquotient $|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$ appears in $s_{\min}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$, not in $s_{\min}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is also a subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

$\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is a subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. In the same manner as above we find that $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is also a subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

$\pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$, hence $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$. $\pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$, hence $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ is the unique irreducible quotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$, hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ is a quotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

A tempered representation is the subquotient of a representation induced from a square-integrable representation of a parabolic subgroup. Here, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $\mathrm{Ind}_{M_0}^{M_i}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda')$ does not contain any square-integrable subquotient. Hence any irreducible subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ other than $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda'), \mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi'_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ must be square-integrable.

$s_{\min}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ contains only one negative subquotient, $|\,|^{-1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\,|^{1/2}\,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$, hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\,|^{1/2}\,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is the only non-tempered irreducible subquotient of $|\,|^{1/2}$

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. Let δ denote a square-integrable irreducible subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. Looking at Jaquet modules we find that δ is also a subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

So far we have seen:

$$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + \delta + A_1,$$
$$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + A_2,$$
$$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + \delta + A_3,$$
$$|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}} = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda') + \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}) + A_4,$$

where A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 are sums of tempered representations. We will now show that A_1, A_2, A_3 and A_4 are empty.

$s_{\min}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi'_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ does not contain any non-negative subquotients. Hence by the Casselman square-integrability criterion all irreducible subquotients of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi'_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are non-tempered. Each subquotient in $s_{\min}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is of multiplicity 1. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ are of multiplicity 1 in $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. The irreducible subquotient $|\ |^{-1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \otimes \lambda'$ in $s_{\min}(\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}))$ does not appear in $s_{\min}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$. Hence $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is no subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi'_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$.

Equivalently we obtain that all irreducible subquotients of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ are non-tempered. $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi'_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ are of multiplicity 1 and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}})$ is no subquotient of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{2,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$. By Aubert duality $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ and $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}$ do not have any other subquotients.

We obtain that $\delta = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}; |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}; \lambda')$ and $\mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}}; \pi'_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}}) = \mathrm{Lg}(|\ |^{1/2}\ \widehat{\chi'_{\omega_{E/F}}}; \pi_{1,\chi_{\omega_{E/F}}})$.

$\chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible by Theorem 4.1 and unitary. For $0 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < 1/2$, $|\ |^{\alpha_1}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^{\alpha_2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ is irreducible by Theorem 4.3 and unitary by Theorem **??** (1). By [Mil73], all irreducible subquotients of $|\ |^{1/2}\ \chi_{\omega_{E/F}} \times |\ |^{1/2}\ \chi'_{\omega_{E/F}} \rtimes \lambda'$ are unitary. □

References

[Aub95] Anne-Marie Aubert, *Dualité dans le groupe de Grothendieck de la catégorie des représentations lisses de longueur finie d'un groupe réductif p-adique*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), no. 6, 2179–2189. MR 1285969 (95i:22025)

[Cas81] W. Casselman, *A new nonunitarity argument for p-adic representations*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **28** (1981), no. 3, 907–928 (1982). MR 656064 (84e:22018)

[Cas95] ———, *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p-adic reductive groups*, Draft (1995).

[Gol94] David Goldberg, *Some results on reducibility for unitary groups and local Asai L-functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **448** (1994), 65–95. MR 1266747 (95g:22031)

[Gol95] ———, *R-groups and elliptic representations for unitary groups*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **123** (1995), no. 4, pp. 1267–1276 (English).

[Han09] Marcela Hanzer, *The unitarizability of the Aubert dual of strongly positive square integrable representations*, Israel J. Math. **169** (2009), 251–294. MR 2460906 (2009k:22024)

[HT10] Marcela Hanzer and Marko Tadić, *A method of proving non-unitarity of representations of p-adic groups I*, Math. Z. **265** (2010), no. 4, 799–816. MR 2652536 (2011j:22025)

[Key82] Charles David Keys, *On the decomposition of reducible principal series representations of p-adic Chevalley groups*, Pacific J. Math. **101** (1982), no. 2, 351–388. MR 675406 (84d:22032)

[Key84] ———, *Principal series of special unitary groups over local fields*, Compositio Math. **51** (1984), 115–130.

[Kon01] Kazuko Konno, *Induced representations of U(2,2) over a p-adic field*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **540** (2001), 167–204. MR 1868602

[Mil73] Dragan Miličić, *On C^*-algebras with bounded trace*, Glasnik Mat. Ser. III **8(28)** (1973), 7–22. MR 0324429 (48 #2781)

[Mui97] Goran Muić, *The unitary dual of p-adic G_2*, Duke Math. J. **90** (1997), no. 3, 465–493. MR 1480543 (98k:22073)

[Rog90] Jonathan D. Rogawski, *Automorphic representations of unitary groups in three variables*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 123, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990. MR 1081540 (91k:22037)

[Tad88] Marko Tadić, *Geometry of dual spaces of reductive groups (non-Archimedean case)*, J. Analyse Math. **51** (1988), 139–181. MR 963153 (90c:22057)

[Tad98] ———, *On reducibility of parabolic induction*, Israel J. Math. **107** (1998), 29–91. MR 1658535 (2001d:22012)

[Tad09] ———, *On reducibility and unitarizability for classical p-adic groups, some general results*, Canad. J. Math. **61** (2009), no. 2, 427–450. MR 2504024 (2010a:22026)